



1st International Conferences on Noncovalent Interactions (ICNI-2019)

Common quantitative trends for the halogen, chalcogen, and pnictogen bonds

Ekaterina Bartashevich,¹ Svetlana Mukhitdinova,¹ Yuriy Matveychuk,¹
Vladimir Tsirelson²

¹Research Laboratory of Multiscale Modelling of Functional Materials, South Ural State University, 454080 Chelyabinsk, Russia. ²Quantum Chemistry Department, D.I. Mendeleev University of Chemical Technology, 125047 Moscow, Russia. e-mail: bartashevichev@susu.ru

In the focus of our study are the noncovalent bonds, $B \cdots E$, in which E-atom = P, As, S, Se Cl, Br, delivers the electrophilic site, and the possible nucleophilic B-molecule = NH_3 , H_2O , HCN . We aimed to disclose the quantitative common trends of electronic properties for noncovalent bonds $B \cdots E$ formed by the halogen, chalcogen, pnictogen atoms in molecular complexes. The values of potential, $v(\mathbf{r}_b)$, and kinetic, $g(\mathbf{r}_b)$, electronic energy densities at the bond critical points and the behavior of electrostatic potential, $ESP(\mathbf{r})$, and potential acting on an electron in a molecule,^[1,2] $PAEM(\mathbf{r})$, along the bond lines were analyzed.

Parameters of relationships $E_{int} = a_0 + a_1 P(\mathbf{r}_b)$ with the best correlation coefficients between the local property $P(\mathbf{r}_b)$ and the binding energy $E_{bind} = E_{BE} - (E_E + E_B)$ (PBE0/aug-cc-pVDZ) for series of molecular complexes were compared. It was established that for fixed B-molecule, the highest R^2 are observed for E_{int} vs $ESP(\mathbf{r}_b)$ or E_{int} vs $PAEM(\mathbf{r}_b)$ relationships; for fixed E-atom the best models are E_{int} vs $V(\mathbf{r}_b)$ or E_{int} vs $G(\mathbf{r}_b)$. The results are summarized below.

| | ESP | PAEM | G | V | | ESP | PAEM | G | V |
|---------------|--|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| P...B | $R^2=0.91$ $a_0=-0.80$ $a_1=-38$ | $R^2=0.96$ $a_0=7$ $a_1=19$ | $R^2=0.95$ $a_0=0.5$ $a_1=-390$ | $R^2=0.97$ $a_0=-0.5$ $a_1=305$ | Ch...NH₃ | $R^2=0.94$ $a_0=0.1$ $a_1=-54$ | $R^2=0.94$ $a_0=16$ $a_1=33$ | $R^2=0.85$ $a_0=0.8$ $a_1=-395$ | $R^2=0.89$ $a_0=-0.3$ $a_1=320$ |
| As...B | $R^2=0.87$ $a_0=0.2$ $a_1=-46$ | $R^2=0.94$ $a_0=9$ $a_1=24$ | $R^2=0.94$ $a_0=0.9$ $a_1=-485$ | $R^2=0.95$ $a_0=-0.4$ $a_1=374$ | Ch...H₂O | $R^2=0.98$ $a_0=-0.8$ $a_1=-35$ | $R^2=0.95$ $a_0=8$ $a_1=20$ | $R^2=0.84$ $a_0=-0.2$ $a_1=-284$ | $R^2=0.91$ $a_0=-0.3$ $a_1=288$ |
| S...B | $R^2=0.90$ $a_0=-0.67$ $a_1=-42$ | $R^2=0.95$ $a_0=8$ $a_1=21$ | $R^2=0.98$ $a_0=0.8$ $a_1=-341$ | $R^2=0.97$ $a_0=-0.6$ $a_1=272$ | Ch...NCH | $R^2=0.99$ $a_0=-1.3$ $a_1=-27$ | $R^2=0.97$ $a_0=5$ $a_1=15$ | $R^2=0.91$ $a_0=-0.8$ $a_1=-235$ | $R^2=0.94$ $a_0=-1.1$ $a_1=240$ |
| Se...B | $R^2=0.86$ $a_0=0.99$ $a_1=-50$ | $R^2=0.92$ $a_0=13$ $a_1=29$ | $R^2=0.95$ $a_0=2.2$ $a_1=-471$ | $R^2=0.97$ $a_0=0.1$ $a_1=358$ | Pn...NH | $R^2=0.94$ $a_0=-0.5$ $a_1=-55$ | $R^2=0.89$ $a_0=14$ $a_1=30$ | $R^2=0.95$ $a_0=10$ $a_1=-950$ | $R^2=0.65$ $a_0=5$ $a_1=649$ |
| Cl...B | $R^2=0.88$ $a_0=1.2$ $a_1=-56$ | $R^2=0.93$ $a_0=14$ $a_1=29$ | $R^2=0.96$ $a_0=2.7$ $a_1=-361$ | $R^2=0.99$ $a_0=0.5$ $a_1=282$ | Pn...H₂O | $R^2=0.93$ $a_0=-1.4$ $a_1=-42$ | $R^2=0.97$ $a_0=8$ $a_1=21$ | $R^2=0.88$ $a_0=4$ $a_1=-696$ | $R^2=0.83$ $a_0=3$ $a_1=644$ |
| Br...B | $R^2=0.80$ $a_0=2.7$ $a_1=-61$ | $R^2=0.88$ $a_0=19$ $a_1=36$ | $R^2=0.90$ $a_0=4.2$ $a_1=-493$ | $R^2=0.95$ $a_0=1.5$ $a_1=386$ | Pn...NCH | $R^2=0.97$ $a_0=-1.5$ $a_1=-31$ | $R^2=0.95$ $a_0=5$ $a_1=15$ | $R^2=0.77$ $a_0=0.5$ $a_1=-441$ | $R^2=0.75$ $a_0=-0.5$ $a_1=414$ |

The electronic criterion^[3] for categorizing the halogen, chalcogen, pnictogen bonds was formulated: *minimum of $ESP(\mathbf{r})$ is always located at the side of atom that donates electrons; the minimum of $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ is closer to the atom that delivers its electrophilic site and prescribes the name of bonding.*

References

- [1] Zhao, D.-X.; Yang, L.-D. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2014**, *35*, 965–977.
- [2] Bartashevich, E., Tsirelson, V. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2018**, *39(10)*, 573-580.
- [3] Bartashevich, E., Mukhitdinova, S., Yushina, I., Tsirelson, V. *Acta Cryst. B.* **2019**, *B75*, 117-126.

Acknowledgement: This research is funded by RFBR, grant No. 17-03-00406.